

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,868	11/15/2001		Michael Belman	P05378US0	4795	
22885	7590	11/14/2002				
MCKEE, V	OORHE	ES & SEASE, P.1	EXAM	EXAMINER		
801 GRAND SUITE 3200			SEFER, AHMED N			
DES MOINE	ES, IA 50	309-2721		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2826			
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	o.	Applicant(s)					
		10/002,868		BELMAN, MICHA	EL //				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		A. Sefer		2826	<u> </u>				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, h y within the statutory vill apply and will exp	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	iy. ommunication.				
3 tatus 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	August 2002 .							
2a)[	·	is action is not	n-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except fo	r formal matters, pi	rosecution as to th	ne merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>									
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requ	irement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachme		. ,	~~						
1) Noti 2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	4) 5) <u>4</u> . 6)		ry (PTO-413) Paper N Patent Application (P					

Application/Control Number: 10/002,868

Art Unit: 2826

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamada et al. (JP 9-63805).

Yamada et al disclose in fig. 1-2 a chip resistor comprising a substrate 1/11 having opposite parallel symmetrical first and second surfaces, and a central longitudinal plane of symmetry; separate and spaced first and second resistive layers 2/12 or thick film resistive layers (as in claim 2) on the first and second surfaces, respectively, electrically connected in parallel to each other or connected in parallel by end terminals on ends of a substrate (as in claim 6), wherein the terminals are adopted for mounting to a circuit board (as in claim 7); and the first and the second surfaces of the substrate being symmetrically located with respect to and equidistant from the central longitudinal plane so that when electrical current passes through the resistive layers, a temperature distribution within the substrate will be substantially symmetrical

Application/Control Number: 10/002,868

Art Unit: 2826

>

about the central longitudinal plane of the substrate for eliminating thermal bending thereof.

As to claim 8, Yamada et al disclose first resistive layer and second resistive layer are symmetric about the central longitudinal plane.

3. Claims 1 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Witt et al. US Patent No. 6,404,324.

Witt et al disclose in figs. 1-5 a chip resistor 10 comprising a substrate 12 having opposite parallel symmetrical first and second surfaces, and a central longitudinal plane of symmetry; separate and spaced first and second resistive layers 14, 16 on the first and second surfaces, respectively, electrically connected in parallel to each other or connected in parallel by end terminals on ends of a substrate (as in claim 6), wherein the terminals are adopted for mounting to a circuit board (as in claim 7); and the first and the second surfaces of the substrate being symmetrically located with respect to and equidistant from the central longitudinal plane so that when electrical current passes through the resistive layers, a temperature distribution within the substrate will be substantially symmetrical about the central longitudinal plane of the substrate for eliminating thermal bending thereof.

As to claim 5, Witt et al disclose an area of the first resistive layer is substantially equal to that of the second resistive layer such that the chip resistor with both resistive layers tolerates higher instantaneous pulsed power than either layer could provide separately and individually without the other resistive layer.

Art Unit: 2826

As to claim 8, Witt et al disclose first resistive layer and second resistive layer are symmetric about the central longitudinal plane.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Witt et al. in view of Huck US Patent No. 5,543,775.

Witt et al disclose all the claimed subject matter but do not specifically teach the use of thin film resistive layers.

Huck teaches (see abstract) the advantage of using thin film resistive layers in temperature sensing devices or as a resistance heating element.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a thin film resistive layer, since thin film resistive layers would react more quickly to a surge.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Witt et al. in view of Thompson US Patent No. 4,064,477.

Witt et al disclose all the claimed subject matter but do not specifically teach the use of foil resistive layers.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,868 Page 5

Art Unit: 2826

Thompson discloses (see col. 1, lines 5-26) a foil resistive layer.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the thin or thick film resistive layer of the prior art with a foil resistive layer, since that would allow a uniform current density.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

a. Fukaya et al. (JP 11-251105) disclose a thick film resistive element with a high

withstand voltage pulse characteristic.

b. Mori et al. US ref. 5,510,594 disclose a thick-film circuit component.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to A. Sefer whose telephone number is (703) 605-1227.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601.

ANS

November 11, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER